

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/822,588	10/822,588 04/12/2004		Harland D. Couillard	UPCP 0102 PUS1	3553
22045	7590	02/16/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BROOKS I			VARNER, STEVE M		
TWENTY-S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075				3635	
				DATE MAILED: 02/16/200	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/822,588	COUILLARD, HARLAND D.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steve M Varner	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statute  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty  by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT  by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 14 December 2004.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for	_	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-22 and 36-47</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>15-21,36-43 and 45-47</u> is/are	rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 22 and 44 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		v the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objectio							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	= • •	• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority under 55 5.5.5. g	113(a)-(a) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received						
	cuments have been received in Ap	unlication No					
	the priority documents have been r						
application from the International		oserved in this reasonal etage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for		eceived.					
AMachanautta							
Attachment(s)	<b>□</b> □ · · · · ·	(DTO 442)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) LJ Interview Su -948) Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<b>-</b> •					

Art Unit: 3635

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-14, 23-35, are cancelled.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-21, 36-43, 45-47, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7, 9, of U.S. Patent No. 6748636. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Regarding method claims 15-21, 36-43, 45-47, the claimed methods are the obvious methods of removing a forming element of claims 1-7, 9, 14.

# Claim Objections

Claims 22, 44, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3635

Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that he disagrees with the rejection of claims 15-21 but does not explain how he disagrees.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmidgall reveals a collapsible core for concrete pipe making apparatus. Gillespie shows a pneumatically-powered battering ram. Schmidt shows a rammer. Schultz reveals a vibratory concrete pipe forming apparatus and method. Freeman teaches a mobile reinforced concrete pipe machine. Osteen shows a construction moving positioning and molding tool. Marsh et al. teaches a device for forming and handling concrete pipe.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve M Varner whose telephone number is 703 308-1894. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D Friedman can be reached on 703 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Naoko Slack

Primay Examine